WOLFF & SAMSON PC
THE OFFICES AT CRYSTAL LAKE
ONE BOLAND DRIVE
WEST ORANGE, NEW JERSEY 07052
973-325-1500
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY CORP. (TS-6491)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BOARHEAD FARM AGREEMENT GROUP,

Civil Action No. 02-3830 (LDD)

Plaintiff,

v.

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, DISMISSING COUNT SIX OF ASHLAND'S CROSS-CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO PLEAD WITH PARTICULARITY

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Wolff & Samson PC, attorneys for Defendant Advanced Environmental Technology Corp. ("AETC"), by way of motion and upon proper notice to all counsel of record, for an Order granting summary judgment dismissing Counts One through Ten of Ashland, Inc.'s ("Ashland") Cross-claim against AETC, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c), or in the alternative, dismissing Count Six of Ashland's Cross-claim against AETC for failure to plead fraud with particularity, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(b), and the Court having considered the arguments of counsel, and having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this _____ day of March, 2008,

ORDERED that:

- Defendant AETC's motion for summary judgment on all Counts of Ashland's
 Cross-claim against it is hereby granted; and
- 2. Ashland's Cross-claim is hereby dismissed in its entirety with prejudice; or in the alternative;
- 3. Defendant AETC's motion for summary judgment is hereby granted as to Count One of Ashland's Cross-claim against AETC because it is not supported by the undisputed facts; and
- 4. Count One of Ashland's Cross-claim asserting breach of written contract is hereby dismissed in its entirety with prejudice; and
- 5. Defendant AETC's motion for summary judgment is hereby granted as to Count Six of Ashland's Cross-claim against AETC because it is not supported by the undisputed facts; and
- 6. Count Six of Ashland's Cross-claim asserting fraud in the inducement is hereby dismissed in its entirety with prejudice; or in the alternative
- 7. Defendant AETC's motion to dismiss Count Six of Ashland's Cross-claim against AETC is hereby granted for failure to plead fraud with particularity, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(b); and
- 8. Count Six of Ashland's Cross-claim asserting fraud in the inducement is hereby dismissed, pursuant to <u>Fed. R. Civ. P.</u> 9(b), for failure to plead with particularity; and
- 9. A copy of this Order shall be served by regular mail upon all counsel of record within seven (7) days of receipt hereof.

LeGrome D. Davis, U.S.D.J.

2

Case 2:02-cv-03830-LDD Document 293-8 Filed 02/22/2008 Page 3 of 3

1116373.1

3